SUMMARY OF THE INTERVIEW

Applicants confirm a May 13, 2005 telephone interview with Examiner Gilbert. The Examiner had received Applicants' May 3, 2005 Amendment but had not yet had an opportunity to review it in detail. The substance of the interview was to provide background information and explain to the Examiner, in summary format, the nature of the changes made in the Amendment and the response to the March 9, 2005 Office Action. No discussion was made of the merits and no additional material was submitted or argued beyond that set forth in the May 3, 2005 Office Action. No agreement was reached on the subject matter and the Examiner indicated the May 3, 2005 and this Supplemental Amendment would be considered when this matter comes up on the Examiner's docket for consideration of the May 3, 2005 Amendment.

REMARKS

The undersigned appreciates the Examiner having taken the time for the interview. As mentioned during the interview, Applicants are submitting this Supplemental Amendment to correct clerical errors. In claim 41, the dependency was improperly stated. In claim 43, the phrase "of said heart", is believed to be confusing since it suggests that the pericardium is part of the heart rather than an independent organ which surrounds the heart.

For the reasons stated in the May 3, 2005 Office Action Response, Applicants respectfully submit that this application is in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and Notice of Allowance are solicited. If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would advance prosecution following review of the May 3, 2005 Office Action, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,

MERCHANT & GOULD P.C. P.O. Box 2903 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-0903 (612) 332-5300

Date: & June 2005 -

Timethy R. Conrad

TRC:

Reg. No. 30,164